



THE STATE OF UTAH
OFFICE OF STATE ENGINEER
SALT LAKE CITY

WAYNE D. CRIDDLE
STATE ENGINEER

April 9, 1964

Mr. Wallace Spencer
Yost,
Utah

Dear Wally:

Re: George Creek Water
Distribution System

In making the assessments up we find that acre-foot totals are not included in the annual report. Will you supply the required data to the accounting department, C/O Richard Pexton as soon as possible?

Information Needed:

SUMMARY OF 1963 WATER DELIVERIES

OWNER OF RIGHT:

Decreed:

Present:

George Creek Irr. Co.

Same

Wallace Spencer

Same

Byron Chadwick

Barton Smith

Roy Teeter

Tom Teeter

1963 Delivery
Acre-Feet to July 1

2158.23

1562.86

16. -

30. -

3767.09

TOTAL:

Were dragging on this so your prompt attention will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Don

Donald C. Norseth
DISTRIBUTION ENGINEER

DCN:dp

*If you don't have complete records on the
high water give us an estimate*

George Creek Water Distribution System

Yost, Utah

Assessment breakdown for 1964 budget.

Budget for 1964

\$700.00	travel
150.00	wages from July 1 to Oct. 1
25.00	other expenses
<u>875.00</u>	total

From April 1 to July 1 assessment made on an acre ft. basis.

\$362.50	- budget from April 1 to July 1
	\$350.00 travel
	<u>12.50</u> other expenses
	362.50 total

37

3767.09 total ac. ft. delivered from April 1 to July 1, 1963.

2158.23	- company
1562.86	- Spencer
16.	- Burton Smith (high water)
<u>30.</u>	- Tom Teeter (high water)
3767.09	- total delivered

assessment breakdown to July 1, 1964

\$207.68	- company
150.40	- Spencer
1.54	- Burton Smith
<u>2.88</u>	- Tom Teeter
362.50	

From July 1 to Oct. 1 assessment made according to Hart Decree
(one-fifth to Yost - four-fifths to Company)

assessment breakdown from July 1 to Oct. 1, 1964.

\$102.50	- Spencer	1/5
349.73	- Company	
<u>60.27</u>	- Spencer	(14.7% of the 4/5 or 25 shares)
512.50		

\$512.50 - budget from July 1 to Oct. 1

\$350.00	travel
150.00	wages
<u>12.50</u>	other expenses
512.50	

(2) TOTAL ASSESSMENT for Spencer \$150.40 to July 1
102.50 from July 1 to Oct. 1
60.27 assessment on 25 shares
\$313.17

(1) TOTAL ASSESSMENT for Company \$349.73 to July 1
207.68 from July 1 to Oct. 1
557.41

(3) TOTAL ASSESSMENT for Smith 2.88

(4) for Teeter 1.54

Total \$875.00 (4) 4-16-64

George Creek Assessment

1. Acre Foot Delivery Basis
2. Formula.

$$\frac{D_A}{T_A} \times B = I$$

D_A = individual delivery in ac ft.

T_A = Total delivery on George Creek to all users.

B = 1964 Budget

I = individual assessment

3. Assessments. Data.

a. 1964 budget = \$875.00

b. ~~ac~~ Total ~~acre feet~~ ^{deliveries} delivered in 1964 = 3767.09 ac ft.

c. Individual assessments:

George Creek Irrig Co = 2158.23

Spencer = 1562.86

Smith = 16.00

Tetter = 30.00

4. Assessments.

a. George Creek Irrig Co = \$501,304.25

b. Wallace Spencer = \$363.0129126

c. Burton Smith = \$3,716.396425

d. Tom Tetter = \$6,968.2433625

George Creek 1964 Assessment Formula

1 - George Cr. Irrig. Co.	2,158.23 x Rate = \$501.30
2 - Wallace Spencer	1,562.86 " = 363.01
3 - Burton Smith	16.00 " = 3.72
4 - Tom Teeter	30.00 " = 6.97
	<hr/>
	3,767.09 A/Ft. <u>\$875.00</u>

Total

1964 Rate = $\$875.00 \div 3,767.09 \text{ A.Ft.} = \0.2322747798
 for One pro-rata Acre foot of Water times reported Acre foot
 delivery to each user

Frank Reese April 16, 1964

①

(1)

Wallace Spencer's Calculated 1964 Assessment:

1 - George Creek Irrig. Co.	→	\$ 557.41
2 - Wallace Spencer	—	313.16
3 - Burton Smith	—	1.54
4 - Tom Teeter	—	<u>2.89</u>

Total \$ 875⁰⁰

(2)

Based upon water delivered: April 1, 1963 to June 30, 1963

1 —	\$ 501.30
2 —	\$ 363.01
3 —	\$ 3.72
4 —	<u>6.97</u>

Total \$ 875⁰⁰

2

$\$362.50 \div 3,767.09 \text{ A.Ft.} = \$0.0962281231 \text{ for 1 acre-foot of water}$

		1964
		Assessment
To June 30, 1964	July 1 to Oct. 1, 1964	Total
1 - \$207.68	\$349.75 - $\frac{4}{5}$ minus .147% $\frac{89}{15}$	\$557.41
2 - 150.39	\$162.77 = $\frac{1}{15}$ + .147% $\frac{89}{15}$	\$313.16
3 - 1.54	- 0 -	\$1.54
4 - <u>2.89</u>	<u>- 0 -</u>	<u>\$2.89</u>
Total \$362.50	\$512.50	\$875.00

(72)
7.16-64

This is Wallace Spencer's calculations which agree with mine

Frank

(3)